

## **Potential issues to raise with SCDC planners: Suggestions to date (16 July)**

### **Switch to new system:**

This seems to have reduced further the archive of old documents and particularly with historic caravan site permissions it is essential to have access to those documents which relate to current allowed activity.

### **Uploading of documents**

Documents relating to major developments are not being uploaded in a timely manner. With regard to U and C the revised design report was dated March but only uploaded at the end of May. This meant that WPC had no chance to revisit their response done to meet 21 day time limit but based on the old document as that was the only one available to us at the time.

Validating applications and putting them on the system still has an unacceptable delay that is causing difficulties for local businesses and potential businesses and for smaller sites and householder applications. See below notice of 2<sup>nd</sup> July!

“We are currently processing householder, full, outline and reserved matters applications dated 6 May, other categories from 6 May, and conditions discharges from 7 April. We apologise for the delay.

When consultee comments are also not posted in a timely fashion residents with unique knowledge of the sites are unable to highlight issues through the PC in what is often a desk survey.

### **Major developments**

There needs to be a better tracking system of conditions and reserved matters and key dates to ensure there is compliance. There was clearly a failure with the Persimmon site when it had been agreed the play area would be ready when a proportion of houses were occupied but in fact was not in a safe state until much later. Even now having a gravel path ending at a kerb because the pavement width has been reduced to a small edging is clearly not acceptable. Similarly there was no chasing up of installing access from Back Stiles to Gibson Close.

WPC would like to see SCDC officially signing off completed conditions so that such lapses are not tolerated.

Officers prepare reports for the SCDC planning committee, such reports should be forwarded to the PC for information. In fact with major developments it seems relevant for planning officers to provide a brief report to affected PCs when they are asked to comment, bringing forward any SCDC policies that are relevant.

### **S106 agreements**

Even where WPC are not a party to these agreements they do affect land and facilities in their parish and the PC need an opportunity to comment on drafts and be informed on what monies the CCC is holding for what improvements so these can be followed through. Eg S/3204/16/FL

### **Major Modifications**

Why is it considered that Major Mods do not form part of the policies for SS6